



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,421	03/31/2004	Syed F.A. Hossainy	050623.00359	7688
45159	7590	06/03/2010	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP			HARTLEY, MICHAEL G	
1 MARITIME PLAZA				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,421	HOSSAINY, SYED F.A.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL G. HARTLEY	1618	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) MICHAEL G. HARTLEY. (3) _____.

(2) Zhaoyang Li (Appl. repr.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael G. Hartley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The SPE called to suggest clarification amendments to permit the allowed case to issue. Claims 39 and 53 were dependent on canceled claims and claims 42-50 were neither allowed or canceled--thus the status of these claims was unclear. The changes included in the examiners amendment were agreed upon to clarify the allowed claims so the application will issue. .